

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-17539 of 2016
Date of Decision: 19.05.2016

Som Nath

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 30.04.2016 moved by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint was given to respondent No.3 but in spite of recording the statement of the petitioner, the FIR was not registered, whereas, the cognizable offence is made out. A representation was also made to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken thereupon so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Ritu Punj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply two

copies of the petition to learned counsel for the respondent-State during course of the day.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the representation moved by him has not been decided so far, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation and pass necessary order in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this Order.

19.05.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE