

**134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-17529 of 2016
Date of Decision: May 19, 2016**

Anwari and others Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioner has impugned the order dated 30.03.2016 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sonapat, vide which the anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner was dismissed in default.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matter should be decided on merits.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pawan Gaur, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Keeping in view the fact that the anticipatory bail application was not decided on merits, the impugned order is set aside and learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sonapat is directed to decide the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner on merits.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 19, 2016
sarita