

205. **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17495-2016
Date of decision : 23.09.2016**

SUSHIL KOCHAR & ANR.

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. T.S. Sangha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Rawat, Advocate
for the complainant.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to order dated 28.07.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and has cooperated. He further states that the matter has been compromised between the parties. Copy of compromise deed has been placed on record.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 28.07.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

23.09.2016

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.