

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-17494 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION: 24.05.2016

Vankey

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)
2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)

Present:- Mr. Lakshay Bajaj, Advocate for  
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.**

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that charges have been framed but no prosecution witness has been examined so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

May 24, 2016  
pooja

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**