

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.381 of 1991 (O&M)

Date of decision : 24.08.2016

The Cooperative Marketing-cum-Processing Society, Dhuri

...Appellant

Versus

Sewa and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for respondents.

Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate for respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that no cause of action survives in the present appeal as in a suit for injunction filed by the defendant(s), counter claim was filed which was dismissed with a liberty to file the fresh suit.

In view of aforementioned fact, present regular Second appeal is rendered disposed of as infructuous.

24.08.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No