

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: 22.07.2016

CWP No.8126 of 1996

Som Nath Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

High Court for the States of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate, for the respondent.

1. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
2. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner wants his salary stepped up to that of his junior being senior in the Cadre and drawing lesser pay. The High Court has explained in the written statement that the petitioner was suspended w.e.f. 06.10.1981 and reinstated to service w.e.f. 19.07.1982. Disciplinary proceedings were ordered to be initiated against him which resulted in stopping of two increments with cumulative effect vide office order dated 19.07.1982. Besides, the person against whom the claim is made i.e. Mr. Balwant Singh Virk was granted one premature increment while he was working in the Criminal Branch. The

pay of Mr. Virk in the Cadre of Assistants is fixed accordingly. This justifies the difference in pay. The parity order is not under challenge in this petition and has on the other hand attained finality. Therefore, the petitioner cannot legitimately claim that his pay should be stepped up to the level of Mr. Virk. There is, therefore, apparently no merit in this petition and the same is accordingly ordered to be dismissed.

22.07.2016
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE