

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-17421 of 2016

.....

Date of decision:29.8.2016

Akshay Kumar

...Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab for the
respondent-State.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.105 dated 13.9.2014 (Annexure-P.1)
registered for the offences under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-
B IPC at Police Station City-2 Mansa, District Mansa.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Shivali, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab has
put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this
petition. Police record is also available.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab appearing for the respondent-
State and have gone through the record.

As per prosecution version, the money was paid to Bikramjit Singh, who is stated to be main accused and who induced the complainant for getting the Government job etc. As argued by the counsel for the petitioner, Bikramjit Singh has been found innocent by the Police.

From the record, I find that the petitioner has been in custody since 17.3.2016. The petitioner is not required for interrogation or investigation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

August 29, 2016.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No