

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-17392 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 26TH JULY, 2016

Geeta & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Ajay Ghangas, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. K. D. S. Hooda, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.137 dated 19.04.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 307, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the interim order dated 19.05.2016 so also the offences under which the FIR has been registered against the petitioners.

Learned State counsel fairly submits that pursuant to order dated 19.05.2016 the petitioners have joined the investigation and no custody is required.

Learned counsel for the complaint has opposed the bail petition. However, I am inclined to grant interim bail to the petitioners in terms of the interim order dated 19.05.2016, which is made absolute.

26TH JULY, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE