

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-17340 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 19, 2016

Jahangir and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty and to provide adequate security to protect them from respondents No.4, who is father of petitioner No.2. The petitioners, who are present in Court today, contend that they have converted their religion and accepted Islam religion. They further contend that after conversion of their religion, they have also changed their names from Karam Singh to Jahangir and Karamjeet Kaur to Noorjahan and being major have solemnized marriage with their own consent in accordance with law. The private respondent is not accepting the marriage of the petitioners and is extending threats to them. Photocopy of affidavits of the petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2), copy of voter I Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-3), copy of educational certificate of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-4) and copy of marriage certificate (Annexure P-5) have been placed on record.

At this stage, Mr. Vishal Sharma Haritwal, Advocate, who is present in the Court in connected matter, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4.

Without going into the validity of the marriage and without saying anything regarding the alleged conversion of religion of the petitioners, the present petition is considered only for the purpose of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. This petition is disposed of accordingly with the directions to the petitioners to contact respondents No.2 and 3, who, after their satisfaction that the petitioners are actually facing threat, shall take necessary steps to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners from private respondent No.4, as per law.

However, it is made clear that this order, in no way, will affect any other proceedings pending or to be filed against the petitioners etc., which are to be dealt with independently as per law.

May 19, 2016

rajesh.k.khurana

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE