

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17329 of 2016.

Decided on:-20.05.2016.

Kailash Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Ashok Kumar Sama, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to properly investigate the case FIR No.210 dated 6.9.2014 under Sections 323 and 325 read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though the FIR in question was registered on 6.9.2014, but investigation in the case has yet not been completed. He submits that speedy enquiry/investigation is a legitimate right of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that for the relief claimed in the present petition, the petitioner has already approached Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka by way of representation dated 4.5.2016 (Annexure P-3), but no action thereon has been taken by the police so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that due to typographical error, respondent No.3 has been referred as “Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, District Ferozepur” in the Memo of Parties as well as representation (Annexure P-3), whereas he was required to be mentioned as “Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, District Fazilka”. He prays for necessary correction in this regard.

In view of the above, request for correction is allowed. Registry is directed to carry out necessary corrections in the Memo of Parties as well as representation (Annexure P-3).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the contents of application (Annexure P-3) and to act in accordance with law.

May 20, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE