

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No. 17285 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.08.2016**

Ravinder

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.U.K.Agnihotri , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.366 dated 26.09.2014, registered under Sections 302, 202, 34, 149 IPC read with Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonapat.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has been in custody since 26.09.2014 and it is a case of circumstantial evidence.

states that nine out of sixteen witnesses have been examined and out of the remaining, one is a private witness. He further states that since it is a murder case, it would be appropriate to put a time cap on the trial so that the case can be decided finally. On instructions from the investigating officer he states that subject to co-operation by the accused the prosecution will lead its entire evidence within a period of two months.

I find this to be a very fair statement.

Resultantly it is directed that in case the prosecution does not conclude its entire evidence by 27.10.2016 (subject to the accused not obstructing the same), the trial Court shall release the petitioner on bail to its satisfaction.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

August 17, 2016
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No