

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17246 of 2016.  
Decided on:-18.05.2016.

Lakhwinder Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.***

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has already approached respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar by way of representation dated 8.5.2016 (Annexure P-2), but no action thereon has been taken by the police so far.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG,

Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Perusal of the paper book reveals that the dispute appears to be a matrimonial one between the petitioner and his wife and such like directions are unwarranted. Still, taking into consideration the prayer of petitioner for protection of life and liberty, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of representation (Annexure P-2) and to act in accordance with law.

**May 18, 2016**  
Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**