

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17224 of 2016.
Decided on:-18.05.2016.

Usha Rani.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has already approached respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali by way of representation dated 6.5.2016 (Annexure P-4), but no action thereon has been taken by the police so far.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Perusal of the documents annexed with the present petition shows that the dispute seems to be civil in nature and such like prayer is just to mount pressure on the other side, but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of application (Annexure P-4) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law. It is made clear that while deciding the representation, the respondent No.2 shall not be influenced merely because a direction has been issued to act in the case.

May 18, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE