

116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17194 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MAY 18, 2016

KIKKAR SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Charan Jit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. It has been *inter-alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that though petitioner approached respondent No.3 alongwith Sarpanch and submitted its representation Annexure P-6 but respondent No.3 refused to accept the same. He further submits that Incharge Police Station Gurusahi is hands in glove with respondents No.6 to 8.

3. Notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only at this stage

4. At the asking of Court, Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab accepts

notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

5. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated May 13, 2016 (Annexure P-6) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

MAY 18, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE