

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-17184 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.09.2016

Hardeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. J.S. Khiva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 04 dated 05.01.2016, registered under Sections 308, 323, 148 read with Section 149 IPC, at Police Station City-2, Mansa, District Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the injury attributed to the petitioner is on non vital part. The complainant is a history-sheeter and is involved in number of FIRs. The petitioner is in custody since 20.01.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that a grievous injury is attributed to the petitioner. The challan stands presented; charges have been framed,

however, no witness has been examined so far.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the injury attributed to the petitioner is on non vital part and no PW has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No