

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-17158 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.11.2016

Vijay Rathi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Sheokand, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.K. Saini, AAG Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.217 dated 22.03.2012 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat, on the basis of a compromise dated 05.05.2016..

Petitioner No.1-Vijay Rathi married to respondent No.2-Sobha @ Suman on 18.01.2010 as per Hindu rites, but due to misunderstanding, the married life of the couple was disturbed. Thereafter, respondent No.2 made an application for taking legal action against the petitioners and brother of petitioner No.1 and a case was registered under FIR No.217 dated

22.03.2012 under the aforementioned sections. During the pendency of the proceedings under the FIR, the parties with the intervention of elders and well-wishers effected a compromise between them, which compromise is dated 05.05.2016. On the basis of the said compromise, the present petition has been filed seeking quashing of the FIR.

Notices of the petition were issued and the same have been received back unserved upon respondent No.2.

Learned AAG Haryana for respondent No.1-State has filed the reply, which is taken on record, in which it is submitted that the petitioners have subsequently been acquitted vide judgment dated 16.08.2016 passed by Sh. Hitesh, Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samalkha and the instant petition should be dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

After perusing the reply filed on behalf of respondent No.1-State, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the instant petition. Therefore, the same is dismissed as withdrawn having been rendered infructuous.

November 03, 2016

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No