

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17152 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.11.2016

Hanraj @ Sonu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure is for grant of regular bail in FIR No.1211 dated 19.09.2015, registered under Sections 302, 364, 120-B of IPC, Section 25 of Arms Act and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes & the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at Police Station City Gurgaon.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been falsely implicated in the instant case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. No specific allegation or injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The complainant stands examined. The petitioner is in custody since 15.10.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the petitioner has actively participated in the crime. Out of total 25 PWs, 05 PWs have been examined so far.

Heard.

There are specific allegations against the petitioner that he in connivance with other co-accused murdered a young boy of 19 years of age. The motorcycle used in the crime has been recovered from the petitioner. Keeping in view the gravity of the offence and the allegations against the petitioner, no case for regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

15.11.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No