

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.17119 of 2016
Date of Decision : 18.05.2016**

Komal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?**

Present : Petitioners in person with
Mr. Deepak Jindal (Dr.), Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 3.

On asking of Court, Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents. A copy of the paper book be handed over to him.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance on its own on behalf of private respondents/parents of the girl, who are present in person before this Court and tried to oppose the marriage

Keeping in view that this Court is not adjudicating on the very factum of marriage and in view of the rights enshrined by virtue of Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the petition stands disposed off with direction to the official respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-5) within the framework of law.

Meanwhile, in case any instance of threat is brought to the notice of the Police by the petitioners they will act into it expeditiously in accordance with law.

May 18, 2016
Dpr

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE