

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17105-2016

Date of decision : 15.12.2016

KEWAL AND ANR.

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate
for the complainant.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Report of the Mediation Centre has been received to the effect that petitioners are not interested in mediation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to order dated 18.05.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and have cooperated.

Counsel for the complainant says that recovery of car and other electronic items has been effected but gold ornaments were not returned by the petitioners.

Matter of recovery of dowry articles is to be determined by the trial Court. Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 18.05.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i) (ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

15.12.2016

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No