

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17103 of 2016

Date of decision: September 19, 2016

Harmail Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the interim order dated 18.05.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In FIR No.24 dated 02.05.2016, under Sections 341, 323, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 27 of Arms act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot, petitioners are seeking the relief of grant of anticipatory bail.

This Court had made an order dated 18.05.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners have joined investigation.

Learned State counsel submits that the challan has been filed after completion of investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant Mr. A.S. Sekhon, Advocate makes a grievance that after having obtained the order of release on ad-interim anticipatory bail, petitioner No.1-Harmail Singh, in order to threaten or terrorise the complainant, fired a shot from the

gun about which FIR has been lodged with the police.

Learned State counsel submits that the investigation in this context is on.

Be that as it may, care will have to be taken for the interest of the complainant from the petitioner No.1-Harmail Singh who has misused the liberty after granting ad-interim anticipatory bail. The incident is serious and in normal course, order granting ad-interim anticipatory bail ought to have revoked. However, I find that the counsel for the petitioners offered that petitioner No.1-Harmail Singh shall not reside at his residence in village where the complainant is residing and would remain out of that village for six months and would report to the Police Station Sadar Kotkapura once in a week, i.e. Sunday from 11 AM to 4 PM. In that view of the matter, by way of one chance, I confirm the order dated 18.05.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 18.05.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute, subject to the condition that petitioner No.1-Harmail Singh shall remove himself from the village where complainant resides for a period of 6 months would report to the Police Station Sadar Kotkapura once in a week, i.e. Sunday from 11 AM to 4 PM as stated by the counsel for the petitioners upon instructions. Petitioner No.1 shall not apply for modification of this condition.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 19, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No