

CRM-M-17017-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17017-2016

Date of Decision: 22.08.2016

Jagtar Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. H.S.Minhas, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.13 dated 16.03.2016, registered at Police Station Mullanpur, District S.A.S.Nagar, Mohali, under Section 409 IPC.

Notice of motion has been issued in this case.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner while posted as V.D.O. has not handed over the record of

CRM-M-17017-2016

so many villages.

At the time of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that no previous record has been given to the petitioner, therefore, he has already written a letter in this regard. The petitioner has worked for more than one year in various villages, but he is not producing any type of record. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that record of that period is with the Sarpanches of respective villages. It is also alleged in the FIR that after obtaining the record, assessment of recovered amount can be done.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the facts that record is to be recovered from the petitioner and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

22.08.2016

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No