

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-1582 of 1991

Date of Decision : 8.9.2016

Hari Singh Nar

.....Appellant

Versus

Faquir Chand and anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

GURMIT RAM, J.

Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that appellant in this case has expired. He has written letters to the appellant/his LRs regarding the proceeding of this appeal, but there is no response on their behalf.

Further, in this case, notices were sent to the respondents through registered ADs which were received back with the report 'died' and no body has come present on their behalf also to pursue this appeal.

So in the light of above circumstances, this appeal is ordered to be dismissed for want of prosecution and the same may be

revived for its disposal on merits as and when any LRs of the parties to this appeal (since deceased) having interest in the property in dispute, approaches this Court for doing needful as per law.

8.9.2016
Brij

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No

(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE