

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

Mamta
21/08/2016 14:59
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

(i) CWP No.456 of 1998 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08th August, 2016

O.P.Sethi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(ii) CWP No.5795 of 1998 (O&M)

Nand Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms. Anju Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goel, AAG, Haryana,
for the respondent(s)-State.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J

This order will dispose of the above cited cases, as common questions of law and fact are involved in them which can conveniently be decided by a common order.

On written instructions from the Department, Ms. Shruti Jain Goel, AAG, Haryana, submits that both the writ petitions have been rendered infructuous.

The petitioner in CWP No.456 of 1998 has passed away. His son has been contacted by the Department and he is not willing to pursue the petition on account of the fact that he has received the retiral benefits of late petitioner and is satisfied with the same. Further, since there was an interim order dated 13.01.1998 to maintain status quo regarding the service

of the petitioner. He retired from the service as Naib Tehsildar which was the post which he is seeking without passing the mandatory departmental test. The petitioner in the accompanying petition, had also retired from service on the post of Naib Tehsildar and has desired not to pursue the writ. Since, he has received his pension and pensionary dues from the Department on the assumption that he has retired as a Naib Tehsildar, nothing remains to be decided in the instant case.

Both the petitions stands disposed of as infructuous.

08th August, 2016
mamta

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No