

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(286)

CRM-M-16891-2016

Date of Decision:-20.10.2016

Hunny @ Sukhdeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Malkiat Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.123 dated 22.07.2015 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 326 of 'IPC' was added lateron) at Police Station Morinda, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners state that now there is a compromise (Annexure P-2) has been arrived at between the parties. This Court vide order dated September 20, 2016 had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements of the parties in view of the compromise and verify that whether the same is genuine or not.

Now, there is a report received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rupnagar, showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that is arrived at between the parties with the intervention of the respectable persons is genuine and without any pressure,

coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in FIR and terms of the compromise deed (Annexure P-2), I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order that they live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.123 dated 22.07.2015 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 326 of 'IPC' was added lateron) at Police Station Morinda is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of .

October 20, 2016

pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No