

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16859-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 01.09.2016

Lakhbeer Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Gurmeet Singh Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Sukhmander Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.65 dated 19.03.2016, registered under Sections 399, 402 and 413 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at P.S. City Ferozepur.

Heard.

On 26.05.2016, the following order was passed:-

“During the course of arguments today, it has gone uncontroverted that in the raid that was conducted by the police party in pursuance to a secret information having been received, 9 accused were apprehended on the spot and a car and two three-wheeler vehicles were effected.

Insofar as the present petitioner is concerned, he was not apprehended on the spot. He is sought to be implicated on the basis of disclosure statements suffered by 9 accused apprehended on the

spot as also on the basis that one of the two three-wheeler vehicles that was seized was under his ownership.

List for further consideration on 01.09.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 26.05.2016, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

01.09.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No