

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

RSA No. 1357 of 1991

Date of decision: 12.4.2016

Harish Chand and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Ram Lal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Sunil Sharma, Advocate,
for Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Raj Mohan Singh, J.

On 9.2.2016, it was noticed that this appeal was filed through the advocate, who was subsequently elevated to the Bench of this Court and fresh notices were issued to the appellants.

As per office report, appellants have not been served for want of correct addresses. No correct address can be filed in this case. Therefore, this Court is constrained to dismiss the appeal for non prosecution.

Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 12, 2016
anita