

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16757-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 27.08.2016

Gulab Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by HC Jaswant Singh.

Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate,
for co-accused Bhagwant Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.48 dated 13.04.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Samana, Distt. Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is only an employee of Kuldeep Bhardwaj and has not been attributed any role. He has joined the investigation in terms of the orders passed by this Court.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner being an employee of co-accused Kuldeep Bhardwaj, has played a crucial role in committing the crime. She further informs that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, but he is not cooperating.

Heard.

The mill in question was leased to co-accused Kuldeep Bhardwaj, vide lease deed dated 29.09.2015. One metric ton paddy was allotted which was duly returned to the agency, i.e. Pungrain. However, the petitioner and other co-accused, after forging the documents, procured another one metric ton paddy. Despite repeated opportunities, the petitioner is not cooperating in the investigation. Therefore, no case for grant of bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Nothing noticed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion of the Court on the merits of the case.

27.08.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No