

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-16748 of 2016

.....

Date of decision:1.9.2016

Satbir Singh alias Satta

...Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Harpreet Dandiwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana for
the respondent-State.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.138 dated 22.3.2016 registered
for the offences under Sections 21-B and 27-A of the Narcotic Drugs and
Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as 'the NDPS
Act') at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

Notice of motion has been issued in this case.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, learned Additional Advocate General,
Haryana has put in appearance on behalf of respondent-State and contested
this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Advocate General, Haryana appearing for the respondent-State and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the FIR two co-adccused of the present petitioner have been apprehended and the petitioner fled away from the spot. The recovery from the car is stated to be plastic bags etc. containing 345 bottles of Rexcof make Cipla.

Keeping in view the recovery being commercial quantity and the petitioner being nominated in the present case, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail. Otherwise also, the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation.

Therefore, from the above, I do not find any merit in this petition and the same is dismissed.

September 1, 2016.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No