

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16704 of 2016.
Decided on:-13.05.2016.

Tarsem Lal.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of respondents No.5 and 6, who are allegedly threatening the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that for the relief claimed in the present petition, the petitioner has already approached the respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar by moving an application dated 18.4.2016 (Annexure P-1), but no action thereon has been taken by the police so far.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of application (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

May 13, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE