

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5932 of 2000
Date of decision:20.9.2016**

Dyal Singh Sandhu

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Gursher Singh, Advocate for
Mr.A.K.Chopra, Sr. Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Yatinder Sharma, Addl.A.G., Punjab.
Mr.B.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the applicant(s)

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State submits that since the matter pertains to resolution of Municipal Council, Muktsar, vide order dated 9.5.2000 (Annexure P-9) and during the pendency of the present writ petition statutory period thereof has already expired long back, the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of, as such.

Correctness of the above-said statement made by the learned counsel for the State could not be denied by the learned counsel for the petitioner and rightly so, it being a matter of record.

In view of the above-said undisputed fact situation obtaining on the record of the present case, the instant writ petition stands disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

**20.9.2016
mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No