

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.16650 of 2016
Date of decision:11.07.2016

Munesh & another

... Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present : Mr. R. K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.258 dated 20.04.2015, registered under Sections 498A, 304-B, 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Saran, Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners are in custody since 20.04.2015 and the complainant Ram Parkash has turned hostile whereas the mother admitted that the voice was that of her daughter and she was talking to Sandeep. He further states that it was not a case of dowry death and the petitioners are in custody for over a year and the trial is taking time.

Learned State counsel submits that 15 PWs out of 21 witnesses have already been examined and the father has not supported the prosecution version.

The merits of the case are not to be gone into while deciding the bail application. The allegations are serious. The trial is proceeding at a very fast pace. The prosecution has already examined 15 witnesses. The case is also being adjourned for short dates. It is for the trial Court to examine the statements at the end of trial.

No case for bail is made out. The petition is dismissed.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE