

**CRM-M-16523-2016 and
CRM-M-18503-2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 24.08.2016

1. CRM-M-16523-2016

Jeeta Singh @ Jeeta Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-18503-2016

Sukhvir Singh @ Pappu Sardar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Singh Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-16523-2016, filed by
petitioner-Jeeta Singh @ Jeeta Kumar and CRM-M-18503-2016, filed by
petitioner-Sukhvir Singh @ Pappu Sardar, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for

**CRM-M-16523-2016 and
CRM-M-18503-2016**

grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.51 dated 06.04.2016, registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar, under Sections 452, 506, 341 and 323 read with Section 34 IPC.

Notice of motion has been issued in both the cases.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case at the hands of complainant-Sumit Kumar from whom some money was due to the present petitioners. He further argued that perusal of the FIR would reveal that allegations are utterly vague and no specific role/injury has been attributed.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 13.05.2016 passed in CRM-M-16523-2016 and 25.05.2016 passed in CRM-M-18503-2016, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are now not required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 13.05.2016 passed in CRM-M-16523-2016 and 25.05.2016 passed in CRM-M-18503-2016,

**CRM-M-16523-2016 and
CRM-M-18503-2016**

granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

24.08.2016
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No