

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(285)

CRM-M-16522-2016
Date of Decision:-20.10.2016

Manjit Singh @ Pappa and another

...Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shailesh Gupta, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.165 dated 27.08.2014 registered under Sections 325, 323, 336 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Machhiwara, Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners state that now there is a compromise (Annexure P-2) has been arrived at between the parties. This Court vide order dated May 13, 2016 had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements of the parties in view of the compromise and verify that whether the same is genuine or not.

Now, there is a report received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samrala, showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that is arrived at between the parties with the intervention of the respectable persons is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in FIR and terms of the compromise deed (Annexure P-2), I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order that they live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.165 dated 27.08.2014 registered under Sections 325, 323, 336 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Machhiwara, Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of .

October 20, 2016

pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No