

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16519 of 2016

Date of Decision: August 11, 2016

Kulwinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present:

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasjeet Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Veenet Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Report dated 26.05.2016 sent by learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Bathinda has been received, whereby, after recording statements of petitioners Kulwinder Singh, Baljinder Singh, Kuldeep Singh Tara Singh and Sadhu Singh as well as of complainant Tej Kaur it has been informed that the parties have effected a compromise voluntarily on their free will and accord without any pressure.

Keeping in view that it is a pure property dispute and the offences are not heinous in nature and that the parties have effected a compromise which will go a long way to sort out the differences between them and in view of law laid down in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another 2012(4) RCR Criminal 543* and *Kulwinder Singh and others vs.*

State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR Criminal 1052, FIR No.07

dated 17.01.2013 under Sections 420,120-B IPC (offences under Sections 208,210 IPC added later) Police Station, Nathana, Bathinda and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

Petition stands disposed off.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 11, 2016
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No