

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CrI. Misc. No. M-1548 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 31.05.2016

Radhe Shyam

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

**Present:- Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

**Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate
for the complainant.**

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation and matter has also been compromised between the parties. A petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act has also been allowed vide judgment/decreed dated 30.5.2016 passed by District Judge, Family Court-II, Faridabad and marriage has been dissolved with mutual consent. Learned counsel has also placed on record certified copy of the decision in divorce petition.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has affirmed the factum of compromise and allowing of petition filed under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

In view of the above, interim order passed by this Court on 16.1.2015 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 31, 2016

pooja

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**