

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.16439 of 2016
Date of Decision : 16.05.2016**

Papinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?**

Present : Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Nitin Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 3.

On asking of Court, Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents. A copy of the paper book be handed over to him.

In view of the emphasis laid by learned counsel for the petitioners that there is imminent threat to the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and even today outside the Court, supporters of private respondents are present.

Keeping in view the same, to ensure protection of their lives, local Police is directed to ensure that the petitioners are given safe transit to the place where they want to go.

The petition stands disposed off with direction to the

official respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-4) within the framework of law.

Meanwhile, in case any instance of threat is brought to the notice of the Police by the petitioners they will act into it expeditiously in accordance with law.

May 16, 2016
Dpr

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE