

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-16297 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 26.5.2016

Makhan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the son of the petitioner was falsely involved in the present case for offence under Section 306 IPC. He was tried and acquitted by the trial Court, vide judgment dated 3.12.2010, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, SBS Nagar.

It is contended that the story of the prosecution was found to be false and that infact, it was homicidal death. Therefore, the case should be re-investigated.

I am of the view that the accused, who was tried and acquitted by the trial Court, vide judgment dated 3.12.2010, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, SBS Nagar, has not come forward. The present petitioner is father of the accused. None of the victim has come forward. Therefore, the petitioner has prima facie no locus standi to file this petition. Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

26.5.2016
sjks