

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16254 of 2016

Date of decision: August 05, 2016

Laado and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. M.S. Gill, Advocate
for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the order dated 11.05.2016 made by this Court granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

FIR No.142 dated 06.04.2016 under Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, at Police Station Safidon, District Jind, was registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence, in my opinion, the interim order dated 11.05.2016 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners deserves to be confirmed.

In that view of the matter, the interim order dated 11.05.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 05, 2016
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No