

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-16210 of 2016.

Decided on: 01.08.2016.

Kamaljit Singh Grewal @ Kanwaljit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. H.S. Kaler, Advocate for
Mr. Kiran Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Kulwinder Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This is an application for anticipatory bail filed in
FIR No. 6 dated 04.03.2016 under Sections 465, 467, 468, 471 and
120-B IPC registered at Police Station NRI, Jalandhar.

On 11.05.2016 this Court passed the following order:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to accused
petitioner Kamaljit Singh Grewal @ Kanwaljit Singh
in case FIR No.6 dated 4.3.2016 under Sections
465,467,468,471 and 120-B IPC,PS NRI, Jalandhar.

Complainant-Parminder Singh Nijjar alleges that
coaccused Parminder Kaur had set up a fake
marriage certificate with his ailing and aged father
named Swaran Singh and thereafter by using the said

certificate illegally got land transferred in her name.

The role attributed to the petitioner is that of a conspirator with the co-accused in arranging the fake marriage certificate.

It is submitted that in the inquiry conducted by the police no role of petitioner in obtaining alleged fake marriage certificate has surfaced. Petitioner has been nominated only because he appeared as a Power of Attorney of co-accused in the civil dispute between the parties. Even otherwise, veracity of the said certificate can be determined from the records of Registrar of Marriages.

Notice of motion for 1.8.2016.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. Petitioner shall appear before the I.O., as and when called upon for investigation and shall also be bound by all the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in pursuance of the order dated 11.5.2016 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has

joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 11.5.2016, passed by this Court is made absolute.

01.08.2016.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No