

CRM-M No. 16194 of 2016

::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 16194 of 2016
Date of decision : July 25, 2016

Gian Chand Sharma and another,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.409, dated 3.3.2016, registered under Sections 323, 324, 34, 341 & 506 of the IPC, at Police Station Kalanaur, District Rohtak.

At the very outset, learned Addl. AG Haryana has strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner No.1, since he had caused two sharp weapon injuries; one on the fore arm and another on the stomach of the complainant, and that these injuries are duly reflected in the MLR.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioners states that he would not press this petition qua petitioner No.1. He further states that petitioner No.1 would surrender before the trial Court and move an application for regular bail and a direction be issued to the Court to decide the same expeditiously.

I find this to be a fair request. Consequently, this petition qua petitioner No.1 is dismissed. In case, petitioner No.1 surrenders before the Court on or before 29.7.2016 and moves an application for regular bail, the trial Court shall decide the same on merits on or before 1.8.2016.

As regards petitioner No.2, keeping in view the nature of injuries attributed to her, I do not deem it appropriate to deny her the concession of anticipatory bail. Consequently, this petition qua her is disposed of and the order dated 20.5.2016 is made absolute subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

July 25, 2016
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No