

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-16155 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.08.2016

Buta Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.108, dated 14.09.2015, registered under Sections 201, 420, 465 and 467 IPC, at Police Station Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga.

The learned counsel for the petitioner acknowledges the liability of Rs.2,40,000/- which he received as a loan from the complainants. He refers to the statement of complainant Chamkaur Singh, Gurtej Singh and Tarlochan Singh in this regard. He further states that no receipts were issued. He assures that he will repay the entire amount within eight weeks from today. The petitioner is in custody since 03.01.2016.

The learned State counsel opposes the bail application and

states that the challan stands presented. Out of total 16 PWs, only 02 have been examined so far. .

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that petitioner has undertake to repay the entire amount and out of total 16 witnesses, only 02 PWs have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.08.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No