

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16136 of 2016
DECIDED ON: May 12, 2016

ROOP CHAND

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal procedure (for short, "Cr.P.C.") seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to present supplementary challan/report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. against respondents No. 7 to 9, in case FIR No. 167, dated 29.11.2015, under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 IPC, Police Station Ismailabad.

2. Undisputably, the petitioner earlier filed CRM-M-4653-2016 captioned as ***"Roop Chand vs. State of Haryana and others"***, which was disposed of on March 01, 2016 with directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated February 02, 2016 (Annexure P-6) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law

within a stipulated period.

3. In compliance thereof, report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C. has already been presented by official respondents and now, through the instant petition, petitioner has sought direction to official respondent to present supplementary challan. The investigation is the prerogative of the investigating agency and no direction can be given. If the petitioner is so aggrieved against the presentation of challan or of non-presentation of supplementary challan, he can approach the trial court by moving a regular complaint or to have recourse to the other remedies available to him under the law.

4. Dismissed.

May 12, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE