

Sr. No. 105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-16120 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 11.05.2016**

Malkiat Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA**

Present:- Mr. Balbir Singh Sewak, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.31 dated 29.03.2016, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Payal, District Ludhiana.

Briefly, it may be noticed that the FIR came to be registered at the instance of Amarjit Kaur. Complainant had asserted that she owns agricultural land and was in cultivating possession of the same. Accusations are against Amarjit Singh, Kamalpreet Singh, Ajmer Singh as also the present petitioner, namely, Malkiat Singh of having connived with each other and by getting some other lady to impersonate for the rightful owner and by producing forged documents got sale deed executed pertaining to the same very land.

The present petitioner is the Lambardar of the village in

question. It stands conceded that the signatures of the present petitioner were affixed on the sale deed as a witness.

In spite of repeatedly calling upon the counsel for the petitioner to substantiate and to clear the doubt that the present petitioner as a lambardar had not identified the lady who had impersonated for the real owner i.e. complainant Amarjit Kaur, counsel was evasive and would not even advert to the sale deed in question.

Under such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to insulate the petitioner with the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Petition dismissed.

**11.05.2016**

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE**