

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M 16092 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.12.2016

Simranpreet Singh @ Simarpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. HPS Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shaurya Puri, Advocate, for
Mr. NS Mahal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking for quashing of FIR No. 80 dated 30.4.2013 (Annexure P/1) registered under Sections 354, 506 and 323/34 IPC at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant—Sonu against the petitioner, who is stated to be juvenile. It has been averred in the petition that the FIR was lodged as a result of misunderstanding that arose between the parties. However, now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from the Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Ludhiana, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR qua the petitioner, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court

in Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543,
this petition is allowed and FIR No. 80 dated 30.4.2013 (Annexure P/1)
registered under Sections 354, 506 and 323/34 IPC at Police Station
Samrala, District Ludhiana and all subsequent proceedings arising out of
the same are quashed qua the petitioner only.

The petition stands disposed of.

13.12.2016
prem

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No