

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 16077 of 2016
Date of decision : July 12, 2016

Gaurav Kumar,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Deepak Kumar Sethi, Advocate
for Mr. Shakti Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana

Mr. PS Poonia, Advocate
for the complainant.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.96,

dated 27.2.2016, registered under Sections 148, 149, 324, 307, 120-B of

the IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that no specific role has been attributed to the present petitioner, victim has been discharged from hospital and that the petitioner has now been in custody for the last four months.

Learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Zile Singh, has accepted these factual assertions.

Counsel for the complainant has, however, argued that one danda in question was recovered from the petitioner but he has accepted the fact that the victim has been discharged from the hospital.

In these circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

July 12, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

CRM-M No. 16077 of 2016

::3::