

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-16063 of 2016
Date of Decision: 31.05.2016

Ruby & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Ms. Anju Bansal, Advocate for
Ms. Harpinder Kaur Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sonpreet Singh Brar, Advocate
for respondents no.4 to 7.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for
issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and
liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned State counsel has filed affidavit of Sulakhan Singh,
PPS, D.S.P., Sub Division, Gurusahai, on behalf of respondents no.1 to

3 as well as statement of the petitioners to the effect that the petitioners are on visiting terms with the parents and there is no need for any protection.

Learned counsel for respondents no.4 to 7 states that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid, no further order is required to be passed in the present petition.

Disposed of.

May 31, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE