

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(209-A)

CRM-M-16052-2016

Decided on: June 03, 2016.

Ravinder

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Bal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that similarly circumstanced co-accused of the petitioner, from whom the recovery of fire arm had been effected, has been granted concession of bail by this Court.

Learned State counsel has opposed application for bail inter alia on the ground that the petitioner has been an active follower of Baba Rampal and has actively participated in the act of obstructing the entry of the police officials in Satlok Aashram with the help of 1500 to 2000 other persons.

Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner has been involved in another case under Section 302 IPC in the year 2006. The petitioner happens to be on bail in said case.

Taking into consideration the role attributed to the petitioner; recoveries have already been effected and arrest of the petitioner two days after the incident, he can be granted concession of bail.

The petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to a condition that the petitioner, during course of trial, will not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

June 03, 2016
harsha