

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-15066 of 2016
Date of decision : 15.09.2016

Ramandeep Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Upender Prasher, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab

Mr. GBS Dhillon, Advocate
for the complainant

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that in compliance of order dated 08.05.2015 the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Vide order dated 08.05.2015, the Coordinate Bench had noted that a sum of Rs. 1,10,000/- was transferred by the petitioner into his own account. That amount has not been returned. The petitioner side had also stated during the course of the proceedings that he was ready to settle the matter. The petitioner was directed to bring Rs. 5 lacs to show his bonafide. It had been stated that the petitioner was working with the Air Force and could not come.

Number of adjournments had been granted but he had failed appear or pay the amount. In March 2016, the petitioner had stated that he had already paid the amount and he had the recording. CD was handed over to the counsel for the complainant. The petitioner was asked to return the gold rings which were reflected in the photographs available with the police. The same have not been returned. Last opportunity had been granted.

The petitioner would deposit Rs. 1,10,000/- i.e. the amount withdrawn

from the account of the complainant and Rs. 2,50,000/- for the rings, which makes a total of Rs. 3,60,000/- with the trial Court within two months from today. The amount can be withdrawn by the complainant.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 08.05.2015 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation and will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. If the petitioner fails to deposit the amount the complainant can approach this Court for re-call of the order.

September 15, 2016
reena

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No