

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15969-2016

Date of Decision:- 07.12.2016

Sukhmander Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.81 dated 12.08.2012, under Sections 380, 109, 497 and 498 IPC, registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda, on the basis of compromise dated 15.04.2015 (Annexure P-2).

Brief facts of the case are that on 29.05.2012 when complainant has gone to village Puhla to search his wife, he came to know that his wife has gone with one Sukhmander Singh and also taken gold 5½ tolas and 22-25 thousand. Thereafter, the the present FIR has been registered against the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the peace and harmony, the matter has now been amicably settled between the parties with the intervention of respectable persons, vide compromise dated 15.04.2015 (Annexure P-2).

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court, was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties, with regard

to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise dated 15.04.2015 (Annexure P-2), by way of order dated 14.09.2016, by this Court.

In compliance of order dated 14.09.2016 of this Court, the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda, dated 06.10.2016 has been received. As per the report, the statements of all the concerned parties have been recorded and the complainant has no objection, if the present FIR registered against the petitioners is quashed. Statements of the petitioners and complainant have been recorded to the same effect.

Consequently, in view of the above-said report and in view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and another, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910; Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429** and the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.81 dated 12.08.2012, under Sections 380, 109, 497 and 498 IPC, registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, on the basis of compromise dated 15.04.2015 (Annexure P-2).

The present petition stands disposed of.

December 07, 2016
naresh.k

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No