

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.15963 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.05.2016

Ravinder Garg

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Cheema, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State assisted by Inspector Sukhvinder Singh.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for the complainant.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of accused petitioner-Ravinder Garg in case FIR No.85 dated 21.03.2016, under Sections 147, 149, 456, 457, 447, 448, 395, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered with Police Station Ambala Cantt., District Ambala.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he was one of the conspirators with main accused Smt. Himalyani Gupta and Smt. Promila Kanwar while attempting to take forcible possession of the property in dispute on the night of 20.3.2016 at around 8 pm leading to the lodging of the aforesaid FIR.

The principal accused Smt. Himalyani Gupta and Smt. Promila Kanwar are alleged to have stayed and convened meetings at the hotel of the petitioner where common meeting of minds was arrived at towards arranging of logistic support to take forcible possession of the property.

It is contended that the petitioner is a respected businessman of Ambala City owning many properties including a Hotel Grand Plaza and has no criminal background. Merely because two principal accused had stayed at his

Hotel, the name of the petitioner has been roped in on account of false averments of being a conspirator by holding of meetings.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner/accused further submits that petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required as nothing is to be recovered from him.

Learned State Counsel, assisted by Inspector Sukhvinder Singh, agrees that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

Learned Counsel for the complainant opposes the grant of bail.

In view of the above, interim protection/pre-arrest bail granted by this Court, vide order dated 17.05.2016, is made absolute.

Disposed of.

May 26, 2016
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE